

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,059	PEREZ ET AL.
	Examiner Nghia M. Doan	Art Unit 2825

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Nghia M. Doan. (3) _____

(2) Kotulak Richard (Reg. No. 27,712). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 October 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Ker et al. (cited in the final office action)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: According to the Appeal Brief Filed on 07/25/2007, The applicant's argument is persuasive by incorporating the application specification into the claim language. Hence, Examiner suggests Applicant to clarify the claim language upon the argument in the Appeal Brief that distincts over Ker el at. which is recited in the final office action mailed on 03/21/2007.